Our organizations believe that democracy works best when the public is informed about crucial decisions that affect them and our environment—and can use that information to engage their elected leaders and hold them accountable.

Towards these goals, our organizations collaborate regularly on a Pennsylvania Environmental Scorecard which compiles a list of the most crucial environmental votes that have taken place in the Pennsylvania General Assembly during the current session. Our organizations reached out to other environmental advocates and non-profit leaders to make sure we are recognizing and scoring the most important and highly-watched environmental votes.

As this scorecard shows, many of our elected officials watched environmental votes. For the first time this year our organizations included a lifetime score for each legislator. This score reflects their pro-environment voting over time. While this may only reflect a portion of the careers of long-standing legislators and will be less relevant for recently elected lawmakers, the lifetime score will help give context to each legislator’s position on environmental issues over time.

It should be noted that a number of Republican legislators earned especially high scores this cycle. Conservation and environmentalism began as and continue to be bipartisan areas of concern. In Pennsylvania, the greatest divide is not between parties, but between regions, with most western legislators scoring significantly higher than their eastern counterparts. That divide makes sense on its face, given it is ground zero for natural gas drilling and the historic, if diminishing, role of coal and steel production in the region. Still, one would hope that legislators from Western Pennsylvania, where pollution and the historic, if diminishing, role of coal and steel production in the region. Still, one would hope that legislators from Western Pennsylvania, where pollution continues to be a constant threat, would be at the forefront of efforts to reduce some of the nation’s worst air pollution and clean up some of the world’s most polluted water.

Among the votes scored, only two pieces of legislation made positive improvements to our environment—the creation of a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy program to spur investment in clean energy, and a bill to protect the residents of the Commonwealth. Due to the lack of legislative leadership, what we should remember about this session is the legislation that was left on the table and never brought up for a vote: bills that would’ve improved air and water quality, moved us towards clean energy, and protected public health. Bills that leadership buried included efforts to put Pennsylvania at risk to getting 100% of its energy from renewable sources, to keep schools for lead hazards, and to protect our children from lead poisoning.

Unfortunately, our scorecard shows that too many continue to pursue efforts to pass legislation that would rollback environmental protections around oil and gas production in the region. Still, one would hope that legislators from Western Pennsylvania, where pollution continues to be a constant threat, would be at the forefront of efforts to reduce some of the nation’s worst air pollution and clean up some of the world’s most polluted water.

Among the scores, only two pieces of legislation made positive improvements to our environment—the creation of a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy program to spur investment in clean energy, and a bill to make it harder to seize conserved land by eminent domain. The 2017/2018 session also included bills designed to roll back environmental protections around oil and gas drilling to where they were in 1984. It included bills designed to rewrite the rules for coal companies so they can destroy streams without penalty.

For the first time this year our organizations included a lifetime score for each legislator. This score reflects their pro-environment votes going back to the 2007/2008 legislative session. While this may only reflect a portion of the careers of long-standing legislators and will be less relevant for recently elected lawmakers, the lifetime score will help give context to each legislator’s position on environmental issues over time.

Our organizations believe that democracy works best when the public is informed about crucial decisions that affect them and our environment—and can use that information to engage their elected leaders and hold them accountable.

Towards these goals, our organizations collaborate regularly on a Pennsylvania Environmental Scorecard which compiles a list of the most crucial environmental votes that have taken place in the Pennsylvania General Assembly during the current session. Our organizations reached out to other environmental advocates and non-profit leaders to make sure we are recognizing and scoring the most important and highly-watched environmental votes.

As this scorecard shows, many of our elected officials watched environmental votes. For the first time this year our organizations included a lifetime score for each legislator. This score reflects their pro-environment voting over time. While this may only reflect a portion of the careers of long-standing legislators and will be less relevant for recently elected lawmakers, the lifetime score will help give context to each legislator’s position on environmental issues over time.

It should be noted that a number of Republican legislators earned especially high scores this cycle. Conservation and environmentalism began as and continue to be bipartisan areas of concern. In Pennsylvania, the greatest divide is not between parties, but between regions, with most western legislators scoring significantly higher than their eastern counterparts. That divide makes sense on its face, given it is ground zero for natural gas drilling and the historic, if diminishing, role of coal and steel production in the region. Still, one would hope that legislators from Western Pennsylvania, where pollution continues to be a constant threat, would be at the forefront of efforts to reduce some of the nation’s worst air pollution and clean up some of the world’s most polluted water.

Among the votes scored, only two pieces of legislation made positive improvements to our environment—the creation of a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy program to spur investment in clean energy, and a bill to make it harder to seize conserved land by eminent domain. The 2017/2018 session also included bills designed to roll back environmental protections around oil and gas drilling to where they were in 1984. It included bills designed to rewrite the rules for coal companies so they can destroy streams without penalty.

Due to the lack of legislative leadership, what we should remember about this session is the legislation that was left on the table and never brought up for a vote: bills that would’ve improved air and water quality, moved us towards clean energy, and protected public health. Bills that leadership buried included efforts to put Pennsylvania at risk to getting 100% of its energy from renewable sources, to keep schools for lead hazards, and to protect our children from lead poisoning.

Unfortunately, our scorecard shows that too many continue to pursue efforts to pass legislation that would rollback environmental protections around oil and gas production in the region. Still, one would hope that legislators from Western Pennsylvania, where pollution continues to be a constant threat, would be at the forefront of efforts to reduce some of the nation’s worst air pollution and clean up some of the world’s most polluted water.

Among the scores, only two pieces of legislation made positive improvements to our environment—the creation of a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy program to spur investment in clean energy, and a bill to make it harder to seize conserved land by eminent domain. The 2017/2018 session also included bills designed to roll back environmental protections around oil and gas drilling to where they were in 1984. It included bills designed to rewrite the rules for coal companies so they can destroy streams without penalty.
### HOUSE VOTES

#### AVERAGE SCORES:
- **Democrats:** 94%
- **Republicans:** 23%
- **Entire State House:** 52%

#### HOUSE ENVIRONMENTAL CHAMPIONS
These legislators scored 58% during the 2017/2018 legislative session:
- **Democrats:**
  - Larry Farnese (D-1)
  - Andy Dinniman (D-19)
  - Jay Costa (D-43)
  - John Blake (D-22)
- **Republicans:**
  - Madeleine Dean (D-153)
  - Jason Dawkins (D-179)
  - Tina Davis (D-141)
  - Austin Davis (D-35)
  - Margo Davidson (D-164)
  - Mary Daley (D-148)
  - Angel Cruz (D-180)
  - Scott Conklin (D-77)
  - Carolyn Comitta (D-156)
  - Morgan Cephas (D-192)
  - Donna Bullock (D-195)
  - Matt Bradford (D-70)
  - Kevin Boyle (D-172)

#### HOUSE ENVIRONMENTAL LOW SCORES
These legislators scored 0% during the 2017/2018 legislative session:
- **Democrats:**
  - Daylin Leach (D-17)
  - Tom Killion (R-9)
  - Vincent Hughes (D-7)
  - Art Haywood (D-4)
  - Stewart Greenleaf (R-12)
- **Republicans:**
  - William Keller (D-184)
  - Sid Kavulich (D-114)
  - Jordan Harris (D-186)
  - Kevin Haggerty (D-112)
  - John Galloway (D-140)
  - Ed Gainey (D-24)
  - Robert Freeman (D-136)
  - Dan Frankel (D-23)
  - Izzy Fitzgerald (D-203)

#### HOUSE BILL 2466
**Final Passage**
- **Passed in the House:** 112-75
- **Passed in the Senate:** 47-26
- **Signed by Governor:** July 19, 2018

#### HOUSE BILL 2514
**Final Passage**
- **Passed in the House:** 108-83
- **Passed in the Senate:** 42-8
- **Signed by Governor:** August 28, 2018

#### SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL CHAMPIONS
These legislators scored 76% during the 2017/2018 legislative session:
- **Democrats:**
  - Madeleine Dean (D-153)
  - Jason Dawkins (D-179)
  - Tina Davis (D-141)
  - Austin Davis (D-35)
  - Margo Davidson (D-164)
  - Mary Daley (D-148)
  - Angel Cruz (D-180)
  - Scott Conklin (D-77)
  - Carolyn Comitta (D-156)
  - Morgan Cephas (D-192)
  - Donna Bullock (D-195)
  - Matt Bradford (D-70)
  - Kevin Boyle (D-172)

#### SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL LOW SCORES
These legislators scored 0% during the 2017/2018 legislative session:
- **Democrats:**
  - Daylin Leach (D-17)
  - Tom Killion (R-9)
  - Vincent Hughes (D-7)
  - Art Haywood (D-4)
  - Stewart Greenleaf (R-12)
- **Republicans:**
  - William Keller (D-184)
  - Sid Kavulich (D-114)
  - Jordan Harris (D-186)
  - Kevin Haggerty (D-112)
  - John Galloway (D-140)
  - Ed Gainey (D-24)
  - Robert Freeman (D-136)
  - Dan Frankel (D-23)
  - Izzy Fitzgerald (D-203)

#### BILL DESCRIPTIONS

**HOUSE BILL 1959**
**Final Passage**
- **Passed in the House:** 105-82
- **Passed in the Senate:** 42-8
- **Signed by Governor:** August 28, 2018

**HOUSE BILL 2154**
**Final Passage**
- **Passed in the House:** 101-89
- **Passed in the Senate:** 42-8
- **Signed by Governor:** August 28, 2018

**SENATE BILL 234**
**Final Passage**
- **Passed in the House:** 42-8
- **Passed in the Senate:** 42-8
- **Signed into law by Governor:** August 28, 2018

### ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

2017/2018 PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD
**BILL DESCRIPTIONS**

**HOUSE BILL 1401**

Cutler Amendment A 4208
Adopted in the House (100-93)

- Allows the oil and gas industry to avoid paying their fair share by prohibiting DEP from raising well permit fees.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE BILL 1401**

Benninghoff Amendment A 4214
Adopted in the House (97-95)

- Authorizing “deemed approval” of oil and gas permits, making it more likely that incomplete, poorly written, or inadequately assessed permits get approved.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE RESOLUTION 515**

10/17/17
Adopted in the House (101-83)

Seeks to interfere with the Delaware River Basin Commission’s prohibition on fracking within the Delaware River Basin.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**SENATE BILL 624**

Final Passage
6/26/17
Passed in the Senate (32-17)
Passed in the House (120-77)
Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

- Creates a loophole in the Clean Streams Act to allow mining companies to damage or pollute streams based on a promise to clean them up later, instead of preventing the damage in the first place. This bill was designed to allow Consol mining to destroy a vital stream in Ryerson Station State Park in Greene County.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE BILL 1071**

Final Passage
4/25/17
Passed in the Senate (28-21)
Passed in the House (102-87)
Vetoed by Governor Wolf

- Robs cities and towns of the right to pass laws to reduce plastic bag litter and pollution.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

---

**KEY**

- **Pro-Environment Vote**
- **Anti-Environment Vote**
- **Excused Absence (not scored)**
- **Not In Office* (not scored)**
- **Unexcused Absence* (negative score)**

* Unexcused absences have been scored as a negative, however legislators who did not serve full terms were scored only out of the votes taken during their time in office.

---

**BILL DESCRIPTIONS**

**HOUSE BILL 1401**

Adopted in the House (97-95)

- Authorizing “deemed approval” of oil and gas permits, making it more likely that incomplete, poorly written, or inadequately assessed permits get approved.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE BILL 1401**

Adopted in the House (100-93)

- Allows the oil and gas industry to avoid paying their fair share by prohibiting DEP from raising well permit fees.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE RESOLUTION 515**

Adopted in the House (101-83)

- Seeks to interfere with the Delaware River Basin Commission’s prohibition on fracking within the Delaware River Basin.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**SENATE BILL 624**

Passed in the Senate (32-17)
Passed in the House (120-77)

- Creates a loophole in the Clean Streams Act to allow mining companies to damage or pollute streams based on a promise to clean them up later, instead of preventing the damage in the first place. This bill was designed to allow Consol mining to destroy a vital stream in Ryerson Station State Park in Greene County.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

**HOUSE BILL 1071**

Passed in the Senate (28-21)
Passed in the House (102-87)

- Vetoed by Governor Wolf
- Robs cities and towns of the right to pass laws to reduce plastic bag litter and pollution.
- The Pro-Environment Vote is "No".

---

**NAME**

**DISTRICT**

**PARTY**

Barbin, Brian
171
D

Barrar, Steve
160
R

Benninghoff, Kerry
171

Bernstine, Aaron
10
R

Bizzarro, Ryan
3
D

Bloom, Steve
191
R

Boback, Karen
117
R

Boyle, Kevin
172
D

Bradford, Matt
70
D

Brown, Rosemary
169
R

Brown, Vanessa
90

Bullock, Donna
95

Burns, Frank
72

Caltagirone, Tom
127
D

Carroll, Mike
118
D

Causer, Martin
136

Cephas, Morgan
192
D

Charlton, Alex
65
R

Christiana, Jim
35
R

Cox, Jim
129
R

Cruz, Angel
180
D

Cutler, Bryan
100
R

Culver, Lyndsay
106

Dellinger, Mary
168

Davidson, Margo
155

Davis, Austin
35
D

Daley, Mary Jo
148
D

Davidson, Margo
164
D

Davis, Austin
35
D

---

**ND**

Not In Office

**NS**

Not Scorable

**H**

House of Representatives

**S**

Senate of Pennsylvania

**R**

Regulatory Interference

**O**

Oil & Gas Production

**E**

Economic Development

**D**

Domestic Markets

**P**

Public Lands

---
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Unexcused absences have been scored as a negative, however legislators who did not serve full terms were scored only out of the votes taken during their time in office.

### HOUSE VOTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>2017/2018 PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gillen, Mark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galloway, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainey, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabler, Matt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritz, Jonathon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman, Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankel, Dan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flynn, Marty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal, Dan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman, Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fink, Jonathan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faber, Matt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallois, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gehm, Mark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unexcused absences have been scored as a negative, however legislators who did not serve full terms were scored only out of the votes taken during their time in office.
### SENATE VOTES

#### 2017/2018 PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

#### BILL DESCRIPTIONS

### SENATE BILL 652
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (32-17)
- Passed in the House (120-77)
- Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

**Bill Description**
- The bill makes protecting pipelines a felony. It unnecessarily creates a new category of critical infrastructure properties, including natural gas facilities and pipelines, and imposes severe penalties for trespassing on those properties. It gives the legislature unprecedented power to override any environmental regulation that costs more than $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all government costs $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all environmental protections.

### SENATE BILL 234
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (42-8)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Signed into law by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Creates Property Assessed Clean Energy, a financing mechanism that enables low-cost, long-term funding for energy conservation upgrades to commercial or industrial properties.

### SENATE BILL 977
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (29-21)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Vetoed by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Robs cities and towns of the right to pass laws to reduce plastic bag litter and pollution.

### SENATE BILL 624
**Concur in House amendments**
- Passed in the Senate (32-17)
- Passed in the House (120-77)
- Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

**Bill Description**
- Creates a loophole in the Clean Streams Act to allow mining companies to damage or pollute streams based on a promise to clean them up later, instead of preventing the damage in the first place. This bill surrenders the executive power to issue regulations to legislative committees, allowing small groups of legislators to unfairly block or indefinitely delay any proposed environmental protection.

### SENATE BILL 1071
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (32-17)
- Passed in the House (120-77)
- Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

**Bill Description**
- Seeks to grant the legislature veto power over any environmental regulation that costs more than $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all environmental protections.

### SENATE BILL 561
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (29-21)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Signed into law by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Seeks to grant the legislature veto power over any environmental regulation that costs more than $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all environmental protections.

---

#### HOUSE VOTES

### SENATE VOTES

### SENATE BILL 234
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (42-8)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Signed into law by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Creates Property Assessed Clean Energy, a financing mechanism that enables low-cost, long-term funding for energy conservation upgrades to commercial or industrial properties.

### SENATE BILL 977
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (29-21)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Vetoed by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Robs cities and towns of the right to pass laws to reduce plastic bag litter and pollution.

### SENATE BILL 624
**Concur in House amendments**
- Passed in the Senate (32-17)
- Passed in the House (120-77)
- Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

**Bill Description**
- Creates a loophole in the Clean Streams Act to allow mining companies to damage or pollute streams based on a promise to clean them up later, instead of preventing the damage in the first place. This bill surrenders the executive power to issue regulations to legislative committees, allowing small groups of legislators to unfairly block or indefinitely delay any proposed environmental protection.

### SENATE BILL 1071
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (32-17)
- Passed in the House (120-77)
- Governor Wolf allowed the bill to pass into law without his signature.

**Bill Description**
- Seeks to grant the legislature veto power over any environmental regulation that costs more than $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all environmental protections.

### SENATE BILL 561
**Final Passage**
- Passed in the Senate (29-21)
- Passed in the House (102-87)
- Signed into law by Governor Wolf.

**Bill Description**
- Seeks to grant the legislature veto power over any environmental regulation that costs more than $1 million, so this action would put the legislature in control of all environmental protections.
## 2017/2018 PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

### SENATE VOTES

#### KEY
- **Pro-Environment Vote**
- **Anti-Environment Vote**
- **Absence (not scored)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>PARTY</th>
<th>2017/2018 Score</th>
<th>2017/2018 LIFETIME Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alloway, Rich</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argall, Dave</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aument, Ryan</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates, Lisa</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta, C.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beiser, John</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Besozzi, L.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bizzarro, A.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blakesly, J.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer, T.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comer, J.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa, J.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domenick, A.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiSanto, J.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edlinghager, J.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faner, J.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipek, M.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formato, W.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamba, J.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenleaf, S.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayeswood, A.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes, V.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson/Scott</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killo, T.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-branch, W.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SB561–Regulatory Interference
- **Final Passage**

#### HB1071–Restricts Local Laws on Plastic Bags
- **Final Passage**

#### SB624–Loophole in Clean Streams Act
- **Concur in House Amendments**

#### SB977–Regulatory Interference
- **Final Passage**

#### SB234–Low Cost Funding for Green Upgrades
- **Final Passage**

#### SB652–Right To Assemble/Protest
- **Final Passage**

#### LIFETIME SCORE

#### 2017/2018 SCORE
Democracy works best when the public is informed about crucial votes that affect them and our environment—and can use the information to engage their elected leaders and hold them accountable.